

Time-dependent scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on scattering manifolds*

Kenichi ITO[†] and Shu NAKAMURA[‡]

October 9, 2008

Abstract

We construct a time-dependent scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on a manifold M with asymptotically conic structure. We use the two-space scattering theory formalism, and a reference operator on a space of the form $\mathbb{R} \times \partial M$, where ∂M is the boundary of M at infinity. We prove the existence and the completeness of the wave operators, and show that our scattering matrix is equivalent to the absolute scattering matrix, which is defined in terms of the asymptotic expansion of generalized eigenfunctions. Our method is functional analytic, and we use no microlocal analysis in this paper.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on an asymptotically conic manifold, which we call a *scattering manifold* following Melrose [12, 13]. We propose a construction of a time-dependent scattering theory for this model using a simple reference system and the two-space scattering framework of Kato [10]. Here we present the formulation and results in a relatively simple situation to exhibit the main idea of the method. Namely, we are not trying to formulate and prove the most general theorems. There are many directions to generalize and/or refine our results, and we hope to study several possibilities in forthcoming research projects.

Let M be an n -dimensional smooth non-compact manifold such that M is decomposed to $M_c \cup M_\infty$, where M_c is relatively compact, and M_∞

*2000 *Mathematical Subject Classification*. 58J50, 34P25, 81U05.

Keywords and Phrases. scattering theory on manifold, spectral properties, asymptotic completeness.

[†]Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba Ibaraki, 305-8571 Japan. E-mail: ito_ken@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

[‡]Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro Tokyo, 153-8914 Japan. E-mail: shu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

Partially supported by JSPS Grant Kiban (B) 17340033.

is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M$ with a compact manifold ∂M . We fix an identification map:

$$\iota : M_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M \in (r, \theta).$$

We suppose $M_c \cap M_\infty \subset (0, 1) \times \partial M$ under this identification. We also suppose ∂M is equipped with a measure $H(\theta)d\theta$ where $H(\theta)$ is a smooth positive density, and a positive (2,0)-tensor $h = (h^{jk}(\theta))$. We set

$$\mathcal{H}_b = L^2(\partial M, H d\theta), \quad Q = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} H(\theta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} H(\theta) h^{jk}(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k},$$

where $(\theta_j)_{j=1}^{n-1}$ denotes a local coordinate system in ∂M . We note Q is an essentially self-adjoint elliptic operator on $\mathcal{H}_b$. As usual, we denote the unique self-adjoint extension by the same symbol Q .

Let $\{\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$, $U_\alpha \subset \partial M$, be a local coordinate system of ∂M . We set $\{\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \times U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$ to be a local coordinate system of $M_\infty \cong \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M$, and we denote $(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ to represent a point in M_∞ . We suppose $G(x)$ is a smooth positive density on M such that

$$G(x)dx = r^{n-1}H(\theta)dr d\theta \quad \text{on } \tilde{M}_\infty = (1, \infty) \times \partial M \subset M_\infty,$$

and we set

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, G(x)dx).$$

Let P be a formally self-adjoint second order elliptic operator on $\mathcal{H}$ of the form:

$$P = -\frac{1}{2}G^{-1}(\partial_r, \partial_\theta/r)G \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ t_{a_2} & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_r \\ \partial_\theta/r \end{pmatrix} + V \quad \text{on } \tilde{M}_\infty,$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3 are real-valued smooth tensors, and V is a real-valued smooth function.

Assumption A. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, there is $C_{\ell\alpha} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (a_1(r, \theta) - 1)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} r^{-\mu_1 - \ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha a_2(r, \theta)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} r^{-\mu_2 - \ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (a_3(r, \theta) - h(\theta))| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} r^{-\mu_3 - \ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha V(r, \theta)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} r^{-\mu_4 - \ell} \end{aligned}$$

on $\tilde{M}_\infty$, where $\mu_1, \mu_4 > 1$ and $\mu_2, \mu_3 > 0$. Note that we use the coordinate system in M_∞ described above.

Roughly speaking, Assumption A implies the principal term of P is asymptotically close to $-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}Q)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The condition on the

scalar potential V can be considerably relaxed, and the precise condition is discussed in the following sections. We suppose Assumption A throughout this section.

We will construct a time-dependent scattering theory for P on $\mathcal{H}$. This model is a natural generalization of the Laplacian on a manifold with scattering metric (cf. [12]), and we discuss the geometric construction and the relationship with the scattering metric in Appendix A.

We first observe several basic spectral properties of P , which are quite analogous to those for Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We denote the essential spectrum, the discrete spectrum, the pure point spectrum, the absolutely continuous spectrum, and the singular continuous spectrum by $\sigma_{ess}(\cdot)$, $\sigma_d(\cdot)$, $\sigma_{pp}(\cdot)$, $\sigma_{ac}(\cdot)$ and $\sigma_{sc}(\cdot)$, respectively.

Theorem 1. (1) P is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(M)$. We denote the unique self-adjoint extension by the same symbol P .
(2) $\sigma_{ess}(P) = [0, \infty)$, and $\sigma_{disc}(P) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ is bounded and accumulates at most at $\{0\}$.
(3) $\sigma_{pp}(P)$ is discrete except for $\{0\}$; $\sigma_{ac}(P) = [0, \infty)$; $\sigma_{sc}(P) = \emptyset$.

We prove Theorem 1 under a weaker assumption in Section 2.

In order to construct a time-dependent scattering theory, we define a reference system as follows:

$$M_f = \mathbb{R} \times \partial M, \quad \mathcal{H}_f = L^2(M_f, H(\theta) dr d\theta),$$

$$P_f = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \quad \text{on } M_f.$$

It is easy to show that P_f is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(M_f)$, and we denote the unique self-adjoint extension by the same symbol as before. Let $j(r) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $j(r) = 1$ if $r \geq 1$ and $j(r) = 0$ if $r \leq 1/2$. We define $J : \mathcal{H}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$(J\varphi)(r, \theta) = r^{-(n-1)/2} j(r) \varphi(r, \theta) \quad \text{if } (r, \theta) \in M_\infty,$$

and $J\varphi(x) = 0$ if $x \notin M_\infty$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_f$. We note if $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (1, \infty) \times \partial M$, then $\|J\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_f}$. We consider the two-space wave operators:

$$W_\pm = W_\pm(P, P_f; J) = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itP} J e^{-itP_f}.$$

Note we do not need the projection to the absolutely continuous subspace of P_f since P_f is absolutely continuous. We denote the Fourier transform with respect to r -variable by $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(r, \rho) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ir\rho} \varphi(r, \theta) dr, \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(M_f).$$

We decompose the reference Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_f$ as $\mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}_f^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_f^-$, where $\mathcal{H}_f^\pm$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_f^\pm = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_f \mid \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}\varphi) \subset [0, \infty) \times \partial M\}.$$

Then we have the following existence and the completeness of the wave operators:

Theorem 2. (1) *The wave operators $W_\pm = W_\pm(P, P_f; J)$ exist. Moreover, $W_\pm \mathcal{H}_f^\mp = 0$ and $W_\pm|_{\mathcal{H}_f^\pm}$ are isometries from $\mathcal{H}_f^\pm$ into $\mathcal{H}$.*
(2) *$W_\pm$ are complete, i.e., $\text{Ran } W_\pm = \mathcal{H}_{ac}(P)$, where $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(\cdot)$ denotes the absolutely continuous subspace.*

We prove Theorem 2 in Section 3.

Now we can define the scattering operator by

$$S = W_+^* W_- : \mathcal{H}_f^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f^+,$$

and S is unitary. By the intertwining property: $PW_\pm = W_\pm P_f$ and the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is a spectral representation of P_f , we learn that there exist unitary operators $S(\lambda)$ on $\mathcal{H}_b$ for a.e. $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(\mathcal{F}S\varphi)(\rho, \theta) = (S(\rho^2/2)(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(-\rho, \cdot))(\theta) \quad \text{for } \rho > 0, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_f^-.$$

$S(\lambda)$ is called the *scattering matrix*, and we will see that it is equivalent to the so-called *absolute scattering matrix*, which is defined in terms of the asymptotic expansion of generalized eigenfunctions as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We discuss these properties of the scattering matrix in Section 4.

Scattering theory for Schrödinger operators has long history, and huge amount of work have been done, both in abstract setting and for concrete models (see, e.g., [17] Vol. III or [22] and references therein). Most models of scattering theory are concerned with operators on a Euclidean space, or on the product space of a compact space and a Euclidean space, though there have been several works on asymptotic expansion of solutions on certain manifolds (e.g., Wang [20]).

In early 1990's, Melrose introduced a new framework of scattering theory on a class of Riemannian manifolds with metrics called *scattering metrics* ([12], see also [13, 19, 4, 5, 9, 2]). He and the other authors have studied Laplace-Beltrami operators on such manifolds using a pseudodifferential operator calculus, which is sometimes called the *scattering calculus*. They have also studied the absolute scattering matrix, which is defined through the asymptotic expansion of generalized eigenfunctions. However, as far as the authors are aware of, there have been no works on time-dependent scattering theory on manifolds with scattering metrics, most likely because there is no obvious choice of the *free* Schrödinger operators.

When the authors worked on the microlocal singularities of solutions to Schrödinger operators on scattering manifolds ([8], see also Hassell-Wunsch [6]), we constructed a classical scattering theory on scattering manifolds, and also a reference quantum system to describe the propagation of singularities. It turns out that this idea can be used to construct a time-dependent quantum scattering theory, which is the subject of this paper. As we discuss in Section 4, the (usual) scattering matrix in our framework is equivalent to the absolute scattering matrix, and we are currently working on an alternative proof of the Melrose-Zworski theorem on the microlocal properties of the scattering matrix ([14]).

Our method is quite simple and flexible, and hence we expect it can be applied to various models, including hyperbolic manifolds and non-compact Riemannian manifolds with polynomial growth at infinity. We may also consider scattering theory not only for functions, but also for differential forms. About another direction of generalization, it should be possible to consider more general perturbations, including long-range potentials and magnetic fields. We expect many methods in the traditional scattering theory can be applied to operators on scattering manifolds (or more general non-compact manifolds), and there remain various problems to be addressed.

We use the following notation throughout the paper: The definition domain of an operator A is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(A)$. $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the Banach space of the bounded operators from a norm space X to a Banach space Y , and $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X, X)$. For a self-adjoint operator A , $E_A(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral measure of A . For $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $m > 0$, we write $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$. For $x \in M$, we also write

$$\langle x \rangle = \langle r \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 + rj(r) & \text{for } x \in M_\infty, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in M_c. \end{cases}$$

$\chi_\Omega(x)$ denotes the indicator function of Ω , i.e., $\chi_\Omega(x) = 1$ for $x \in \Omega$, and $= 0$ for $x \notin \Omega$. The positive and negative real axes are denoted by $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty, 0)$, respectively. The set of the nonnegative integers is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ denotes the set of the multi-indices.

2 Self-adjointness and spectral properties

The spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on scattering manifolds as described in Theorem 1 seem to be more or less known, but here we give proof for the sake of completeness.

Let P_0 be the second order elliptic operator on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying Assumption A. We define

$$H^2(M) = \mathcal{D}(P_0) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid P_0\varphi \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

with its graph norm, where P_0 acts on elements of $\mathcal{H}$ in the distribution sense. This definition is independent of the choice of P_0 up to equivalence, as long as the coefficients of P_0 satisfy Assumption A. Typically we may choose $a_1 \equiv 1$, $a_2 \equiv 0$ and $a_3 \equiv h$ on $\tilde{M}_\infty$, and extend the coefficients smoothly so that P_0 is globally elliptic.

Proposition 3. P_0 is self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(P_0) = H^2(M)$, and $C_0^\infty(M)$ is a core for P_0 . In particular, P_0 is essentially self-adjoint on C_0^∞ .

Proof. We first show $C_0^\infty(M)$ is a core. Let $u \in H^2(M)$. Then by the elliptic regularity theorem, we learn $u \in H_{loc}^2(M)$ in the sense of the usual Sobolev spaces. Then by a simple interpolation, we have $\partial_r u \in L^2(M_\infty)$. We now set

$$u_R = (1 - j(r/R))u,$$

where $j(r)$ is the smooth cut-off function in the previous section (we again identify M_∞ with $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M$). Then it is easy to show

$$\|u - u_R\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|P_0(u - u_R)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\|u - u_R\|_{H^2(M)} < \varepsilon/2$ provided R is sufficiently large. By the well-known fact that $C_0^\infty(K)$ is dense in $H^2(K)$ for $K \subset\subset M$, we learn there is $u'_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(M)$ such that $\|u_R - u'_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(M)} < \varepsilon/2$, and hence $\|u - u'_\varepsilon\|_{H^2(M)} < \varepsilon$.

By the definition, we easily see $\mathcal{D}(P_0^*) \subset H^2(M) = \mathcal{D}(P_0)$, and hence it remains only to show P_0 is symmetric. If $u, v \in C_0^\infty(M)$, then $(P_0 u, v) = (u, P_0 v)$ follows from the Stokes theorem (and the formal self-adjointness of P_0). Then by the density argument and the result above, we conclude the equality holds for any $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(P_0)$. $\square$

We now apply the standard perturbation theory for self-adjoint operators, i.e., the Kato-Rellich theorem and the Weyl theorem on the essential spectrum (see, e.g., [17] Section X.2 and Section XIII.4, respectively).

Corollary 4. (1) Suppose V is a symmetric operator on $\mathcal{H}$, and V is P_0 -bounded with the relative bound less than one, i.e., there exist $\beta < 1$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\|Vu\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \beta \|P_0 u\|_{\mathcal{H}} + C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for } u \in H^2(M).$$

Then $P = P_0 + V$ is self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(P) = H^2(M)$, and $C_0^\infty(M)$ is a core. Moreover, P is bounded from below. In particular, if $V = V_1 + V_2$ where V_1 is compactly supported, $V_1 \in L^p(M, Gdx)$ with $p > n/2$, $p \geq 2$, and $V_2 \in L^\infty(M, Gdx)$, then P is self-adjoint.

(2) Suppose, in addition to the conditions above, V is P_0 -compact, i.e., if V is a compact operator from $H^2(M)$ to $\mathcal{H}$, then $\sigma_{ess}(P) = \sigma_{ess}(P_0) = \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular, the condition holds if $V = V_1 + V_2$ as in (1) and $V_2(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

We can easily show $\sigma_{ess}(P_0) = [0, \infty)$ since $P_0 \geq 0$ and it is straightforward to construct a Weyl sequence to show $E \in \sigma_{ess}(P_0)$ for $E > 0$.

The sufficient condition on V given in Corollary 4 is not optimal. It would be appropriate to use a generalization of the Stummel class (see, e.g., [3] Section 1.2), though we do not investigate it in this paper.

We next study the spectral properties of P using the Mourre theory [15]. Let $R > 0$, which will be fixed later. Let X be a vector field on M such that

$$X = j\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \quad \text{on } M_\infty,$$

and $X = 0$ on M_c . Let $\{\exp[tX] \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the flow on M generated by X . The flow induces a one-parameter unitary group defined by

$$U(t)\varphi(x) = \Phi(t, x)\varphi(\exp[-tX](x)) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $\Phi(t, x)$ is a weight function to make $U(t)$ unitary. Let A be the generator of $U(t)$, i.e., $U(t) = e^{itA}$. By straightforward computation, we learn

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2i} G(x)^{-1/2} \left(j\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} j\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) r \right) G(x)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \left(j\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} j\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) r + \frac{n-1}{2} j\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

on M_∞ , and $A = 0$ on M_c .

Assumption B. $V = V_1 + V_2$ such that V_1 is compactly supported, $V_1 \in L^p(M, Gdx)$ with $p > n/2$, $p \geq 2$, and $V_2 \in C^2(M)$ such that

$$|\partial_r^\ell V(r, \theta)| \leq Cr^{-\ell-\nu}, \quad (r, \theta) \in \tilde{M}_\infty, j = 0, 1, 2,$$

with $\nu > 0$, $C > 0$.

We now fix $R > 0$ so that $j(\frac{r}{R})V_1(r, \theta) \equiv 0$. Then P and A satisfy the conditions to apply the Mourre theory:

Lemma 5. *Let $P = P_0 + V$ where P_0 satisfies Assumption A and V satisfies Assumption B. Then:*

- (a) $C_0^\infty(M) \subset \mathcal{D}(A) \cap \mathcal{D}(P)$ and $C_0^\infty(M)$ is a core for P .
- (b) $U(t) = e^{itA}$ leaves $\mathcal{D}(P)$ invariant, and

$$\sup_{|t| < 1} \|Pe^{itA}u\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(P).$$

(c) $i[P, A]$ is extended to a semi-bounded self-adjoint operator, and it is P -bounded.

(d) $[[P, A], A]$ is bounded from $H^2(M)$ to $H^2(M)^*$.

(e) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$. Then there exist $\beta > 0$ and a compact operator K on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\chi_I(P)i[P, A]\chi_I(P) \geq \beta\chi_I(P) + \chi_I(P)K\chi_I(P),$$

where χ_I is the indicator function of I .

Then we obtain the following spectral properties of P :

Theorem 6. Let $P = P_0 + V$ where P_0 satisfies Assumption A and V satisfies Assumption B. Then

- (1) The point spectrum of P is discrete in $\mathbb{R}_+$.
- (2) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \sigma_{pp}(P)$. Then

$$\sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \in I, \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0} \|\langle A \rangle^{-1}(P - z)^{-1}\langle A \rangle^{-1}\| < \infty.$$

In particular, $\sigma_{sc}(P) = \emptyset$.

- (3) Let I as above, and let $s > 1/2$. Then

$$\langle r \rangle^{-s}(P - E \pm i0)^{-1}\langle r \rangle^{-s} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \langle r \rangle^{-s}(P - E \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle r \rangle^{-s}$$

converges uniformly in $E \in I$.

The claims (1) and (2) follows by the standard Mourre theory (see, e.g., [15], [3] Chapter 4), and the claim (3) follows by its refinement by Perry-Sigal-Simon ([16], see also [1]). We note that by using methods of Amrein-Boutet de Monvel-Georgescu [1] or Tamura [18], we can weaken the condition on V considerably.

3 Wave operators and the asymptotic completeness

In this section, we prove Theorem 2, i.e., the existence and the asymptotic completeness of wave operators. Throughout this and the next section, we suppose $P = P_0 + V$, where P_0 satisfies Assumption A and V satisfies Assumption B with $\nu > 1$. The scalar potential term is denoted by V collectively. We may suppose V_1 , the singular part of the potential V , is supported in M_c without loss of generality.

Following the standard procedure, we set

$$T = PJ - JP_f : \mathcal{D}(P_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(PJ) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}.$$

T plays the role of the perturbation term in the two-space scattering theory. By simple computations, we have

$$T\varphi = -\frac{1}{2}G^{-1}(\partial_r, \partial_\theta/r)G \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - 1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_r \\ \partial_\theta/r \end{pmatrix} J\varphi + VJ\varphi$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(Q) \subset \mathcal{D}(P_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(PJ)$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(Q)$, we set

$$|||\varphi||| = \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_f} + \|P_f\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_f} + \|Q\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_f}.$$

Lemma 7. *Let $\mu \leq \min(\mu_1, \mu_2 + 1, \mu_3 + 2, \mu_4, \nu) > 1$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|T\langle r \rangle^\mu \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C |||\varphi|||$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(Q)$.

The proof is an easy computation using the assumptions. Now the existence of the wave operators is straightforward consequence of the Cook-Kuroda method:

Theorem 8. *The wave operators*

$$W_\pm = W_\pm(P, P_f; J) = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itP} J e^{-itP_f}$$

exist. Moreover, $W_\pm \mathcal{H}_f^\mp = 0$, and $W_\pm$ are isometry from $\mathcal{H}_f^\pm$ into $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(Q)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{itP} J e^{-itP_f} \varphi = i e^{itP} T e^{-itP_f} \varphi,$$

and hence

$$(3.1) \quad e^{-itP} J e^{-itP_f} \varphi = J\varphi + i \int_0^t e^{isP} T e^{-isP_f} \varphi ds.$$

At first we suppose $\mathcal{F}\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \partial M)$ and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}\varphi) \subset (-\infty, -\delta) \times \partial M$ with some $\delta > 0$. Such φ 's are dense in $\mathcal{H}_f^-$. Then by the non-stationary phase method (see, e.g., [17] Section XI.3, [7] Section 7.7), or by the integration by parts, we learn

$$\|\chi_{(-\delta t/2, \infty)}(r) e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| \leq C_N \langle t \rangle^{-N}, \quad t > 0,$$

for any N , and in particular,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|J e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| = 0.$$

This implies $W_+ \mathcal{H}_f^- = 0$, and $W_- \mathcal{H}_f^+ = 0$ is proved similarly.

Now we suppose $\mathcal{F}\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \partial M)$ and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}\varphi) \subset (\delta, \infty) \times \partial M$ with some $\delta > 0$. Such φ 's are dense in $\mathcal{H}_f^+$ and it suffices to show the claims (the existence of wave operators and the isometry) for φ . By the non-stationary phase method, we then have

$$\|\chi_{(-\infty, \delta t/2)}(r) e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| \leq C_N \langle t \rangle^{-N}, \quad t > 0,$$

for any N . This implies, in particular,

$$\|e^{itP} J e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| = \|e^{itP_f} \varphi + (j(r) - 1)e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| = \|\varphi\| + O(\langle t \rangle^{-N})$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, and hence $\|W_+ \varphi\| = \|\varphi\|$ provided $W_+ \varphi$ exists. Again by the non-stationary phase method, we learn

$$\|(1 - j(2r/\delta t))e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| \leq C_N \langle t \rangle^{-N}, \quad t > 0,$$

for any N . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| &\leq \|T j(2r/\delta t) e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| + \|T(1 - j(2r/\delta t)) e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| \\ &\leq C \| \langle r \rangle^{-\mu} j(2r/\delta t) e^{-itP_f} \varphi \| + C \| (1 - j(2r/\delta t)) e^{-itP_f} \varphi \| \\ &\leq C' \langle t \rangle^{-\mu} + C'_N \langle t \rangle^{-N}, \quad \text{for } t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7. This implies $\int_0^\infty \|T e^{-itP_f} \varphi\| dt < \infty$, and combining this with (3.1), we obtain the existence of $W_+ \varphi$. The existence of $W_- \varphi$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_f^-$ is similarly shown, and we omit the detail. $\square$

There are several methods available to prove the asymptotic completeness for one-body Schrödinger operators. Here we employ the stationary scattering theory, but the time-dependent theory (the Enss method) should work as well. At first, we prepare P -boundedness of several operators. We write

$$\tilde{Q} = j(r)Qj(r) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}.$$

Lemma 9. (1) Let L be a second order differential operator on M with compactly supported smooth coefficients. Then L is P -bounded.

(2) $j(r)\langle r \rangle^{-1}\partial_\theta$ is P -bounded.

(3) $j(r)\partial_r^2$ is P -bounded.

Proof. (1) is a consequence of the local elliptic estimate. (2) follows from the fact that

$$|j(r)\langle r \rangle^{-1}\partial_\theta|^2 \leq C(\tilde{Q}/r^2 + 1)$$

with some $C > 0$ in the sense of quadratic forms. In order to prove (3), it suffices to show

$$j(r/2)\partial_r^4 j(r/2) \leq c_1 j(r/2)(-\partial_r^2 + \tilde{Q}/r^2)^2 j(r/2) + c_2$$

in the quadratic form sense with some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-\partial_r^2 + \tilde{Q}/r^2)^2 &= \partial_r^4 + \tilde{Q}^2/r^4 - \partial_r^2(\tilde{Q}/r^2) - (\tilde{Q}/r^2)\partial_r^2 \\ &= \partial_r^4 + \tilde{Q}^2/r^4 - 2\partial_r(\tilde{Q}/r^2)\partial_r - [\partial_r, [\partial_r, (\tilde{Q}/r^2)]]. \end{aligned}$$

We note

$$[\partial_r, [\partial_r, (\tilde{Q}/r^2)]] = (\partial_r^2(j(r)^2/r^2))Q,$$

and $\partial_r^2(j(r)^2/r^2) = cr^{-4}$ for $r \geq 1$. Hence we have

$$j(r/2)(\tilde{Q}^2/r^4 - [\partial_r, [\partial_r, (\tilde{Q}/r^2)])j(r/2) \geq -c'r^{-4}j(r/2)^2 \geq -c'j(r/2)^2.$$

Since $-\partial_r(\tilde{Q}/r^2)\partial_r \geq 0$, we learn

$$j(r/2)(\partial_r^2 + \tilde{Q}/r^2)^2j(r/2) \geq j(r/2)(\partial_r^4 - c)j(r/2),$$

and the assertion follows. $\square$

The next lemma is a key step in the proof of the asymptotic completeness. We remark $\tilde{Q}$ is not P -bounded.

Lemma 10. *Let $s > 1/2$, and let $E \in (0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_{pp}(P)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\langle r \rangle^{-s} \tilde{Q}(P - z)^{-1}(1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}\| \leq C$$

for $z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z \neq 0, |z - E| < \varepsilon\}$.

Proof. We show

$$A(z) = \langle r \rangle^{-s}(1 + \tilde{Q})(P - z)^{-1}(1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}$$

is bounded uniformly in a small neighborhood of E . For $R > 0$, we set

$$j_R(x) = j(r/R) \in C^\infty(M); \quad \chi_R(x) = 1 - j_R(x) \in C_0^\infty(M).$$

Note, for $R > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_R A(z) &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} \chi_R (1 + \tilde{Q})(P - i)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \\ &\quad + (z - i) (\langle r \rangle^{-s} \chi_R (1 + \tilde{Q})(P - i)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^s) \times \\ &\quad \times (\langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}) \times (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see from Lemma 9-(1) and Theorem 6 (the limiting absorption principle) that each term is bounded uniformly for z close to E .

We then consider

$$\begin{aligned} j_R A(z) &= j_R \langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 + \tilde{Q})(P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} j_R \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &\quad + \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} [P, j_R (1 + \tilde{Q})] (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} j_R \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &\quad + \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} [P, j_R] (1 + \tilde{Q}) (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &\quad + \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} j_R [P, \tilde{Q}] (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}. \end{aligned}$$

I is uniformly bounded by the limiting absorption principle. Since $[P, j_R]$ is a first order differential operator with compactly supported coefficients, we can easily see

$$\text{II} = (\langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} [P, j_R]) (\chi_{2R} (1 + \tilde{Q}) (P - z)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}) (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1}$$

is uniformly bounded in $z \sim E$ for fixed $R > 0$, as well as $\chi_R A(z)$. We now consider the term III. For simplicity, we write (with slight abuse of notation),

$$P = b_1 \partial_r^2 + b_2 \partial_r \partial_\theta + b_3 \partial_\theta^2 + B^{(4)}$$

where $B^{(4)}$ is a first order differential operator with coefficients of order $O(\langle r \rangle^{-\nu_4})$ where $\nu_4 > 1$ for large r . We decompose III according to this decomposition, and estimate each term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}_1 &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} j_R [b_1 \partial_r^2, \tilde{Q}] (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &= [\langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 - j \partial_r^2 j)] \times \\ &\quad \times [(1 - j \partial_r^2 j)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^s j_R [b_1 \partial_r^2, \tilde{Q}] (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^s] \times \\ &\quad \times [\langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 + \tilde{Q}) (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}] \\ &= B_R^{(1)} A(z). \end{aligned}$$

We recall $j \partial_r^2 j$ is P -bounded, and hence the first component is bounded uniformly. Since $[b_1 \partial_r^2, \tilde{Q}]$ is a differential operator of the form: $\tilde{b}_1 \partial_r^2 \partial_\theta$ with $\tilde{b}_1 = O(\langle r \rangle^{-\nu_1})$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ where $\nu_1 > 1$, we learn

$$\|B_R^{(1)}\| = O(R^{-\nu_1+2s}) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

We may assume $s \in (1/2, \nu_1/2)$, and then $\|B_R^{(1)}\| = O(R^{-\delta})$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$ with some $\delta > 0$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}_2 &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} j_R [b_2 \partial_r \partial_\theta, \tilde{Q}] (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &= [\langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 - j \partial_r^2 j)] \times \\ &\quad \times [(1 - j \partial_r^2 j)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^s j_R [b_2 \partial_r \partial_\theta, \tilde{Q}] (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^s] \times \\ &\quad \times [\langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 + \tilde{Q}) (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}] \\ &= B_R^{(2)} A(z). \end{aligned}$$

We note $[b_2 \partial_r \partial_\theta, \tilde{Q}]$ is a differential operator of the form: $\tilde{b}_2 \partial_r \partial_\theta^2$ with $\tilde{b}_2 = O(\langle r \rangle^{-\nu_2-1})$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\nu_2 > 0$. Hence, analogously to III_1 , we learn $\|B_R^{(2)}\| = O(R^{-\delta})$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$. We next consider

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}_3 &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} j_R [b_3 \partial_\theta^2, \tilde{Q}] (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s} \\ &= [\langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{1/2}] \times \\ &\quad \times [(1 - \tilde{Q})^{-1/2} \langle r \rangle^{s+1} j_R [b_3 \partial_\theta^2, \tilde{Q}] (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^s] \times \\ &\quad \times [\langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 + \tilde{Q}) (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}] \\ &= B_R^{(3)} A(z). \end{aligned}$$

The first component is bounded by Lemma 9-(2) and the limiting absorption principle. $[b_3\partial_\theta^2, \tilde{Q}]$ is a differential operator of the form: $\tilde{b}_3\partial_\theta^3$ with $\tilde{b}_3 = O(\langle r \rangle^{-\nu_3-2})$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, and hence we learn $B_R^{(3)} = O(R^{-\delta})$ similarly. At last, we can also show

$$\text{III}_4 = \langle r \rangle^{-s} (P - z)^{-1} j_R B^{(4)} (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}$$

is uniformly bounded in $z \sim E$ for fixed R , similarly to $\chi_R A(z)$.

Combining these estimates, we have

$$A(z) = \chi_R A(z) + \text{II} + \text{III}_4 + B_R A(z),$$

where $B_R = B_R^{(1)} + B_R^{(2)} + B_R^{(3)} = O(R^{-\delta})$, and the other terms are bounded uniformly in $z \sim E$ for fixed R . Thus, choosing R sufficiently large, we conclude

$$A(z) = (1 - B_R)^{-1} (\chi_R A(z) + \text{II} + \text{III}_4)$$

is bounded uniformly for $z \sim E$. $\square$

It also follows from Lemma 9-(3) that

$$\|\langle r \rangle^{-s} j \partial_r^2 (P - z)^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}\| \leq C$$

uniformly for $z \sim E$. Recalling Lemma 7, and using these estimates, we now have

$$(3.2) \quad \|\langle r \rangle^s T^* (P - z)^{-1} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-s}\| \leq C \quad \text{for } z \sim E$$

if $s > 1/2$ is chosen as in the proof of Lemma 10. The estimate (3.2) and the limiting absorption principle are sufficient to show the asymptotic completeness with the help of the abstract stationary scattering theory (see, e.g., Kato-Kuroda [11], Yafaev [21, 22]) in a slightly generalized form as described in Appendix B.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 &= \mathcal{H}_f, & \mathcal{H}_2 &= \mathcal{H}, & \mathcal{X}_1 &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} \mathcal{H}_f, & \mathcal{X}_2 &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} \mathcal{H}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_1 &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 + Q)^{-1} \mathcal{H}_f, & \mathcal{Y}_2 &= \langle r \rangle^{-s} (1 + \tilde{Q})^{-1} \mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s > 1/2$ is chosen as above. We then set

$$H_1 = P_f, \quad H_2 = P, \quad J_{12} = J^*, \quad J_{21} = J.$$

We let $P_1^\pm$ be the orthogonal projections from $\mathcal{H}_f$ to $\mathcal{H}_f^\pm$, respectively, and let $P_2^\pm = 1$. Then Assumption C in Appendix B is satisfied by the limiting absorption principle (Theorem 6 (3)) and the estimate (3.2). We recall that

the limiting absorption principle for P_f on $\mathcal{X}_1$ is well-known. The existence of the wave operators

$$W_{\pm} = W_{12}^{\pm}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itP} J e^{-itP_f}$$

is proved (Theorem 8), and now we can apply Theorem 15 to conclude the asymptotic completeness:

Theorem 11. $\text{Ran } W_{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_{ac}(P)$.

4 The scattering operator and the scattering matrix

By virtue of the asymptotic completeness, the scattering operator: $S = W_+^* W_- : \mathcal{H}_f^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f^+$ is a unitary operator. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_f^{\pm}$, we set

$$(F_{0,\pm}(\lambda)\varphi)(\theta) = (2\lambda)^{-1/4}(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\pm\sqrt{2\lambda}, \theta), \quad \lambda > 0, \theta \in \partial M.$$

If $\varphi \in \langle r \rangle^{-s} \mathcal{H}_f^{\pm}$ with some $s > 1/2$, then $F_{0,\pm}(\lambda)\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_b = L^2(\partial M, H d\theta)$, and $F_{0,\pm}(\cdot)$ is extended to a unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}_f^{\pm}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{H}_b)$. In fact, by the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \|F_{0,\pm}(\lambda)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_b}^2 d\lambda &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\partial M} |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\pm\sqrt{2\lambda}, \theta)|^2 H(\theta) d\theta \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\partial M} |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\pm\xi, \theta)|^2 H(\theta) d\theta d\xi = \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_f^{\pm}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_f^{\pm}$. It is also easy to see

$$F_{0,\pm}(\lambda)P_f\varphi = \lambda F_{0,\pm}(\lambda)\varphi \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P_f),$$

and hence $F_{0,\pm}(\cdot)$ is a spectral representation of P_f on $\mathcal{H}_f^{\pm}$. We note S satisfies the intertwining property, i.e., for any $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$g(P_f)S = Sg(P_f) : \mathcal{H}_f^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f^+.$$

Then by the abstract theory of scattering, we learn there exists $S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_b)$ for a.e. $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$F_{0,+}(\lambda)S\varphi = S(\lambda)F_{0,-}(\lambda)\varphi \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \langle r \rangle^{-s} \mathcal{H}_f^-.$$

$S(\lambda)$ is the scattering matrix for our scattering system $(P, P_f; J)$. Moreover, by the abstract scattering theory, we have the following representation formula for $S(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \sigma_{pp}(P)$. (Appendix B. See also [22] Section 7.3.)

$$(4.1) \quad S(\lambda) = -2\pi i F_{0,+}(\lambda) (J^* T - T^* (P - \lambda - i0)^{-1} T) F_{0,-}(\lambda)^*.$$

On the other hand, we can represent the wave operators as follows (Appendix B): Let $I \subset \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \sigma_{pp}(P)$, then

$$(4.2) \quad W_{\pm} E_{P_f}(I) \varphi = \int_I (J - (P - \lambda \pm i0)^{-1} T) F_{0,\pm}(\lambda)^* F_{0,\pm}(\lambda) \varphi d\lambda.$$

In particular, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$,

$$\Psi = (J - (P - \lambda - i0)^{-1} T) F_{0,-}(\lambda)^* \varphi \in \langle r \rangle^s \mathcal{H}, \quad s > 1/2,$$

is a generalized eigenfunction of P with the eigenvalue λ . We now consider the asymptotic behavior of Ψ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. By the resolvent equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^* \Psi &= (J^* J - J^* (P - \lambda - i0)^{-1} T) F_{0,-}(\lambda)^* \varphi \\ &= J^* J F_{0,-}(\lambda)^* \varphi \\ &\quad - (P_f - \lambda - i0)^{-1} (J^* T - T^* (P - \lambda - i0)^{-1} T) F_{0,-}(\lambda)^* \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is easy to handle:

$$J^* J F_{0,-}(\lambda)^* \varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} e^{-ikr} \varphi(\theta) \quad \text{for } r \geq 1,$$

where $k = \sqrt{2\lambda}$.

Lemma 12. $(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_r^2 - z)^{-1}$, $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$, has the following integral kernel:

$$(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_r^2 - z)^{-1} \varphi(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i/\zeta) e^{i|r-r'|\zeta} \varphi(r') dr',$$

where $z = \zeta^2/2$ with $\text{Im } \zeta > 0$.

The proof of this lemma is an elementary computation of the Fourier analysis.

We denote $\Phi = (J^* T - T^* (P - \lambda - i0)^{-1} T) F_{0,-}(\lambda)^* \varphi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^* \Psi - J^* J F_{0,-}(\lambda)^* \varphi &= -\frac{i}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i|r-r'|k} \Phi(r', \cdot) dr' \\ &= -\frac{i}{k} \left(\int_{-\infty}^r e^{i(r-r')k} \Phi(r', \cdot) dr' + \int_r^{\infty} e^{-i(r-r')k} \Phi(r', \cdot) dr' \right) \\ &= \Psi_1 + \Psi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi \in \langle r \rangle^{-s} \mathcal{H}_f$ with $s > 1/2$, we learn $\|\Psi_2(r, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}_b} = O(\langle r \rangle^{-\delta})$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ with some $\delta > 0$. Similarly, if we set

$$\Psi_3 = -\frac{i}{k} e^{irk} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ir'k} \Phi(r', \cdot) dr',$$

then $\|\Psi_1(r, \cdot) - \Psi_3(r, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}_b} = O(\langle r \rangle^{-\delta})$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, if we compare Ψ with $S(\lambda)\varphi$ using (4.1), we learn

$$\Psi_3 = e^{irk} \frac{i}{k} \sqrt{2\pi k} F_{0,+}(\lambda) \Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} e^{irk} (S(\lambda)\varphi).$$

Combining these, we have

$$\left\| J^* \Psi(r, \cdot) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} (e^{-ikr} \varphi + e^{ikr} (S(\lambda)\varphi)) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_b} = O(\langle r \rangle^{-\delta})$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ with some $\delta > 0$. Replacing φ by $\sqrt{2\pi k} \varphi$, and applying J to these terms, we conclude the following:

Theorem 13. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \sigma_{pp}(P)$. Then there exists Ψ , a generalized eigenfunction of P with the eigenvalue λ such that $\Psi \in \langle r \rangle^s \mathcal{H}$ for $s > 1/2$ and*

$$\left\| \Psi(r, \cdot) - r^{-(n-1)/2} (e^{-ikr} \varphi + e^{ikr} (S(\lambda)\varphi)) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_b} = O(\langle r \rangle^{-(n-1)/2-\delta})$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ with some $\delta > 0$, where $k = \sqrt{2\lambda}$.

The eigenfunction Ψ in the theorem should be unique, though we do not prove it here (cf. [14]). This result implies that our scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ is actually the same as the *absolute scattering matrix* of Melrose. In other words, the absolute scattering matrix can be defined in terms of the traditional time-dependent scattering theory.

A Geometric Construction

In this appendix, we discuss relationship between our model and the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Riemannian manifolds, in particular, manifolds with scattering metrics.

Let M be a manifold with a smooth compact boundary ∂M . Let x be a boundary defining function, i.e., x is a smooth function on $\overline{M}$ such that $\partial M = \{p \in \overline{M} \mid x(p) = 0\}$. A metric g on M is called a *scattering metric* in the sense of Melrose, if

$$g = \frac{dx^2}{x^4} + \frac{\tilde{h}}{x^2}$$

in a neighborhood of ∂M , where $\tilde{h}$ is a $(0, 2)$ -tensor such that $\tilde{h}$ is smooth up to the boundary, and the pull-back of $\tilde{h}$ to ∂M defines a Riemannian metric on ∂M .

We introduce the *polar coordinate*:

$$(r, \theta) = (x^{-1}, \theta) \in (\gamma, \infty) \times \partial M$$

in a neighborhood of ∂M with some $\gamma > 0$. In this coordinate, the above metric g is expressed as

$$g = dr^2 + r^2 \tilde{h}(r, \theta, -dr/r^2, d\theta).$$

By the assumption, $\tilde{h}$ has an asymptotic expansion in r as $r \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h} &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j(\theta, dr/r^2, d\theta) r^{-j} \\ &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j^1(\theta) dr^2 r^{-4-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j^2(\theta) dr d\theta r^{-2-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j^3(\theta) d\theta^2 r^{-j} \end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can write

$$(A.1) \quad g = m_1(r, \theta) dr^2 + m_2(r, \theta) dr (rd\theta) + m_3(r, \theta) (rd\theta)^2,$$

where m_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (m_1(r, \theta) - 1)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-2-\ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha m_2(r, \theta)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (m_3(r, \theta) - h_0^3(\theta))| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\ell} \end{aligned}$$

for any ℓ, α and $(r, \theta) \in (\gamma, \infty) \times \partial M$. By the assumption, h_0^3 is a positive tensor on ∂M . More generally, we call g a *scattering metric* if g has the above expression (A.1) and m_j satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (m_1(r, \theta) - 1)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-\nu_1-\ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha m_2(r, \theta)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-\nu_2-\ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (m_3(r, \theta) - h_0^3(\theta))| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-\nu_3-\ell} \end{aligned}$$

for any ℓ, α and $(r, \theta) \in (\gamma, \infty) \times \partial M$, where $\nu_1 > 1$, $\nu_2 > 1/2$ and $\nu_3 > 0$. We denote $g^* = (g^{jk}) = (g_{jk})^{-1}$ be the Riemaniann metric on T^*M . If g is a scattering metric, then g^* is also expressed as follows:

$$g^* = b_1(r, \theta) \partial_r^2 + b_2(r, \theta) \partial_r (r^{-1} \partial_\theta) + b_3(r, \theta) (r^{-1} \partial_\theta)^2,$$

where b_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (b_1(r, \theta) - 1)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-\nu'_1-\ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha b_2(r, \theta)| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-\nu'_2-\ell}, \\ |\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (b_3(r, \theta) - h(\theta))| &\leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-\nu'_3-\ell} \end{aligned}$$

for any ℓ, α and $(r, \theta) \in (\gamma, \infty) \times \partial M$, where $\nu'_1 > 1$, $\nu'_2 > 1/2$, $\nu'_3 > 0$, and $h = (h_0^3)^{-1}$ is a positive metric tensor on $T^*(\partial M)$. (Note that here we use $(\partial_r, \partial_\theta)$ to represent the basis of the tangent space $T_x M$, $x = (r, \theta) \in M$.)

We now consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g on $C^\infty(M)$:

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \sum_{j,k} \partial_{x_j} g^{jk} \sqrt{\det g} \partial_{x_k}.$$

It is well-known that $-\Delta_g$ is a non-negative and symmetric operator on $\mathcal{H}' = L^2(M, \sqrt{\det g} dx)$. The principal symbol of Δ_g is given by $g^{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k$, and they satisfy the above condition, which is almost identical to Assumption A. However, we use different measure to define the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$, though $\sqrt{\det g}$ asymptotically converges to G as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We construct an identification operator $U : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ as follows: Let

$$\Psi(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\det g(x)}}{G(x)} \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

and set a unitary operator U from $\mathcal{H}'$ to $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$(U\varphi)(x) = \Psi(x)\varphi(x) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}'.$$

It is easy to show

$$|\partial_r^\ell \partial_\theta^\alpha (\Psi(r, \theta) - 1)| \leq C_{\ell\alpha} \langle r \rangle^{-\nu-\ell} \quad \text{for } (r, \theta) \in (\gamma, \infty) \times \partial M$$

for any ℓ, α with some $\nu > 0$. Then we set

$$P = -\frac{1}{2}U\Delta_g U^{-1} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H} = L^2(M, Gdx).$$

By straightforward computations, we have

$$U\Delta_g U^{-1} = G^{-1} \sum_{j,k} \partial_{x_j} g^{jk} G \partial_{x_k} + W,$$

where

$$W = \sum_{j,k} g^{jk} \Psi^{-2} (\partial_{x_j} \Psi) (\partial_{x_k} \Psi) + \sum_{j,k} G^{-1} \partial_{x_k} (g^{jk} G \Psi^{-1} (\partial_{x_j} \Psi)).$$

We can easily show W satisfies the condition for V in Assumption A with $\mu_4 > 2$. Thus P satisfies Assumption A, and since P is unitarily equivalent to $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_g$, our results of this paper apply to perturbations of the Laplace-Beltrami operator as well.

B Abstract stationary scattering theory

Here we give a formulation of the abstract stationary scattering theory suitable for our application in Section 3 and Section 4. We do not give full proof

of theorems below, but only make remarks on the necessary modifications. See, e.g., Kato-Kuroda [11] or Yafaev [22, 21] for the full discussion of the abstract stationary scattering theory.

Let $\mathcal{H}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, be Hilbert spaces, and let H_j be self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{H}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{X}_j, \mathcal{Y}_j$ be Banach spaces such that

$$\mathcal{Y}_j \subset \mathcal{X}_j \subset \mathcal{H}_j \subset \mathcal{X}_j^*, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and $\mathcal{Y}_j$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}_j$. We suppose $(H_j + i)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_j \subset \mathcal{Y}_j$. The embedding $\mathcal{H}_j \subset \mathcal{X}_j^*$ are defined through the standard pairing in $\mathcal{H}_j$. Let

$$J_{21} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2), \quad J_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$$

be bounded operators such that $J_{12} = (J_{21})^*$, and we suppose

$$J_{jk}(\mathcal{Y}_k \cap \mathcal{D}(H_k)) \subset \mathcal{D}(H_j) \quad \text{for } (j, k) = (1, 2) \text{ or } (2, 1).$$

We fix a bounded interval $I \subset \subset \mathbb{R}$, and consider the scattering theory on the energy interval I . We write

$$R_j(z) = (H_j - z)^{-1}$$

for $j = 1, 2$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, and

$$G_{jk}(z) = (H_j - z)J_{jk}R_k(z) = J_{jk} + T_{jk}R_k(z) : \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$$

for $(j, k) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$, where

$$T_{jk} = H_j J_{jk} - J_{jk} H_k.$$

We note $\mathcal{D}(G_{jk}(z)) \supset \mathcal{Y}_k$, and $\mathcal{D}(T_{jk}) \supset \mathcal{D}(H_k) \cap \mathcal{Y}_k$.

Assumption C. (1) Let $\lambda \in I$ and $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$R_j(\lambda \pm i0) = \text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R_j(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_j, \mathcal{X}_j^*)$$

exist. Moreover, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_j$, $\lambda \mapsto R_j(\lambda \pm i0)\varphi$ are strongly continuous in $\lambda \in I$.

(2) Let $(j, k) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$. For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $G_{jk}(z) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_k, \mathcal{X}_j)$, and for any $\lambda \in I$,

$$G_{jk}(\lambda \pm i0) = \text{s-lim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} G_{jk}(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_k, \mathcal{X}_j)$$

exist. Moreover, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{Y}_k$, $G_{jk}(\lambda \pm i0)\varphi$ are strongly continuous in $\lambda \in I$.

(3) There exist orthogonal projections $P_1^\pm, P_2^\pm$ on $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$, respectively, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|J_{jk}e^{-itH_k}\varphi\| = \|P_k^\pm\varphi\| \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k.$$

Moreover, $P_j^\pm$ commute with H_j , $j = 1, 2$.

We denote $E_j(\cdot) = E_{H_j}(\cdot)$ for $j = 1, 2$. The condition (1) implies

$$E'_j(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (R_j(\lambda + i0) - R_j(\lambda - i0)) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_j, \mathcal{X}_j^*)$$

is well-defined. Let $I' \subset\subset I$, and we define

$$\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') \varphi = \int_{I'} E'_j(\lambda) G_{jk}(\lambda \pm i0) \varphi d\lambda \in \mathcal{X}_j^* \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{Y}_k.$$

Theorem 14. *Let $I' \subset\subset I$ as above, and let $(j, k) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$. Then:*

(1) $\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_j$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{Y}_k$. Moreover, $\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I')$ is extended to a partial isometry from $\mathcal{H}_k$ to $\mathcal{H}_j$ with the initial subspace $P_k^\pm E_k(I') \mathcal{H}_k$ and the range $P_j^\pm E_j(I') \mathcal{H}_j$.

(2) $\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I')^* = \Omega_{kj}^\pm(I')$.

(3) $\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I')$ satisfies the intertwining property, i.e., for any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$f(H_j) \Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') = \Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') f(H_k).$$

We make several remarks on the proof. At first, the introduction of another auxiliary spaces $\mathcal{Y}_j$ does not change the argument, and we can just follow the standard procedure.

Also by the standard argument, we can easily observe $\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') E_k(F) = 0$ if $I' \cap F = \emptyset$, and hence

$$\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') = \Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') E_k(I').$$

On the other hand, by Assumption C-(3), we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm} \|J_{jk} e^{-it(H_k - i\varepsilon)} \varphi\|^2 dt = \|P_k^\pm \varphi\|^2.$$

Then by the Plancherel theorem, we learn

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|J_{jk} R_k(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon) \varphi\|^2 d\lambda = \|P_k^\pm \varphi\|^2.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{Y}_k$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I') \varphi\|^2 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \int_{I'} \|J_{jk} R_k(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon) E_k(I') \varphi\|^2 d\lambda \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|J_{jk} R_k(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon) E_k(I') \varphi\|^2 d\lambda = \|P_k^\pm E_k(I') \varphi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and this implies $\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I')$ is a partial isometry from $P_k^\pm E_k(I')$ into $\mathcal{H}_j$.

The rest of the proof works as in the one-space case. If we know the existence of the wave operators, $\Omega_{jk}^\pm(I')$ are in fact the wave operators, and we can conclude the asymptotic completeness.

Theorem 15. *Suppose Assumption C, and let either $(j, k) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$. Suppose*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\pm}} \|T_{jk} e^{-itH_k} \varphi\| dt < \infty$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, a dense subspace of $\mathcal{Y}_k$. Then

$$W_{jk}^{\pm}(I') = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH_j} J_{jk} e^{-itH_k} E_k(I')$$

exists and $W_{jk}^{\pm}(I') = \Omega_{jk}^{\pm}(I')$. Moreover, if $P_j^{\pm} = 1$, then $W_{jk}^{\pm}(I')$ are unitary operators from $P_k^{\pm} E_k(I') \mathcal{H}_k$ to $E_j(I') \mathcal{H}_j$. In other words, $W_{jk}^{\pm}(I')$ are asymptotically complete on I' .

Finally, we give a proof of the representation formulas (4.1) and (4.2). The following computation is slightly formal, but the justification is straightforward. Let $F_1(\cdot)$ be a spectral representation of H_1 as used in Section 4. Then by the Cook-Kuroda method, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{21}^{\pm} E_1'(\lambda) \varphi &= \left(J_{21} + \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^{\pm\infty} e^{itH_2} (iT_{21}) e^{-itH_1} e^{-\varepsilon|t|} dt \right) E_1'(\lambda) \varphi \\ &= \left(J_{21} + \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} i \int_0^{\pm\infty} e^{it(H_2 - \lambda \pm i\varepsilon)} T_{21} dt \right) E_1'(\lambda) \varphi \\ &= (J_{21} - R_2(\lambda \mp i0) T_{21}) E_1'(\lambda) \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Noting $E_1'(\lambda) = F_1(\lambda)^* F_1(\lambda)$, we learn

$$(B.1) \quad W_{21}^{\pm} E_1(I') \varphi = \int_{I'} (J_{21} - R_2(\lambda \mp i0) T_{21}) F_1(\lambda)^* F_1(\lambda) \varphi d\lambda,$$

and (4.2) follows.

Concerning the scattering matrix, we write $W_{\pm} = W_{21}^{\pm}$ and $S = W_+^* W_-$. Then we have

$$S = W_+^* W_+ - W_+^* (W_+ - W_-).$$

By Assumption C-(3), we can easily see $W_+^* W_+ = P_1^+$. On the other hand, S is a map from $\text{Ran } P_1^-$ to $\text{Ran } P_1^+$, and hence we may write the first term as $P_1^+ P_1^-$.

The second term is computed as follows: by (B.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (W_+ - W_-) E_1'(\lambda) &= (-R_2(\lambda - i0) T_{21} + R_2(\lambda + i0) T_{21}) E_1'(\lambda) \\ &= 2\pi i E_2'(\lambda) T_{21} E_1'(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and we note $W_+ E'_1(\lambda) = E'_2(\lambda) W_+$. Using these, for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi, W_+^*(W_+ - W_-)\psi) &= \int_{I'} \int_{I'} (W_+ E'_1(\lambda) \varphi, 2\pi i E'_2(\lambda') T_{21} E'_1(\lambda') \psi) d\lambda d\lambda' \\
&= 2\pi i \int_{I'} \int_{I'} (W_+ \varphi, E'_2(\lambda) E'_2(\lambda') T_{21} E'_1(\lambda') \psi) d\lambda d\lambda' \\
&= 2\pi i \int_{I'} (W_+ E'_1(\lambda) \varphi, T_{21} E'_1(\lambda) \psi) d\lambda \\
&= 2\pi i \int_{I'} ((J_{21} - R_2(\lambda - i0) T_{21}) E'_1(\lambda) \varphi, T_{21} E'_1(\lambda) \psi) d\lambda \\
&= 2\pi i \int_{I'} (F_1(\lambda) \varphi, F_1(\lambda) (J_{21}^* T_{21} - T_{21}^* R_2(\lambda + i0) T_{21}) F_1(\lambda)^* F_1(\lambda) \psi) d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

These imply

$$(\varphi, (S - P_1^+ P_1^-) \psi) = \int_{I'} (F_1(\lambda) \varphi, (-2\pi i) T(\lambda) F_1(\lambda) \psi) d\lambda,$$

where $T(\lambda)$ is the T-matrix defined by

$$T(\lambda) = F_1(\lambda) (J_{21}^* T_{21} - T_{21}^* R_2(\lambda + i0) T_{21}) F_1(\lambda)^*.$$

In the one-space scattering theory, we choose $P_1^\pm = 1$, and hence $S(\lambda) = 1 - 2\pi i T(\lambda)$ is the scattering matrix. However, in our application in Section 4, $P_f^+ P_f^- = 0$, hence $S(\lambda) = -2\pi i T(\lambda)$, and we obtain the formula (4.1). $\square$

References

- [1] Amrein, W. O., Boutet de Monvel, A., Georgescu, V.: *Commutator Methods and Spectral Theory of N-body Hamiltonians*. Progress in Math. 135, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1996.
- [2] Christiansen, T. J., Joshi, M. S.: Higher order scattering on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds. (English summary) Canadian J. Math. **52** 897–919(2000).
- [3] Cycon, H. L., Froese, R. G. , Kirsch, W. , Simon, B.: *Schrödinger Operators*. Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer Verlag, 1987.
- [4] Hassell, A., Vasy, A.: The spectral projections and the resolvent for scattering metrics. Journal d'Analyse Mathématique **79**, 241–298 (1999).
- [5] Hassell, A., Vasy, A.: The resolvent for Laplace-type operators on asymptotically conic spaces. Annales de l'Institut Fourier **51**, 299–1346 (2001).

- [6] Hassell, A., Wunsch, J.: The Schrödinger propagator for scattering metrics. *Ann. Math.* **162**, 487-523 (2005).
- [7] Hörmander, L.: *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*. Vol. 1. Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [8] Ito, K., Nakamura, S.: Singularities of solutions to Schrodinger equation on scattering manifold. Preprint, Nov. 2007. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.3258>.
- [9] Joshi, M. S.: Recovering asymptotics of Coulomb-like potentials from fixed energy scattering data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **30**, 516–526 (1999).
- [10] Kato, T.: Scattering theory with two Hilbert spaces. *J. Functional Anal.* **1** 342–369 (1967).
- [11] Kato, T., Kuroda, S.: The abstract theory of scattering. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **1**, 127–171 (1971).
- [12] Melrose, R: Spectral and scattering theory for the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidian spaces. In *Spectral and Scattering Theory* (M. Ikawa, ed.), 85–130, Marcel Decker, 1994.
- [13] Melrose, R.: *Geometric Scattering Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [14] Melrose, R. B., Zworski, M.: Scattering metrics and geodesic flow at infinity. *Inventiones Mathematicae* **124**, 389-436 (1996).
- [15] Mourre, E.: Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **78**, 391–408 (1981).
- [16] Perry, P., Sigal, I. M., Simon, B.: Spectral analysis of N -body Schrödinger operators. *Ann. Math.* **114**, 519–567 (1981)
- [17] Reed, M., Simon, B.: *The Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. I–IV. Academic Press, 1972–1980.
- [18] Tamura, H.: Principle of limiting absorption for N -body Schrödinger operators – A remark on the commutator method. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **17**, 31–36 (1989).
- [19] Vasy, A.: Geometric scattering theory for long-range potentials and metrics. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **6**, 285–315 (1998).
- [20] Wang, X. P.: Asymptotic expansion in time of the Schrödinger group on conical manifolds. *Annales de l’institut Fourier* **56**, 1903–1945 (2006).
- [21] Yafaev, D.: *Mathematical Scattering Theory: Applications to Differential Operators*. American Math. Soc. 2000.

- [22] Yafaev, D.: *Scattering Theory: Some Old and New Problems*. Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1735**, Springer Verlag, 2000.